1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership		
2	Including Professional Corporations GREG STEPHEN LABATE, Cal Bar No. 149918 KRISTI L. THOMAS, Cal Bar No. 276511 650 Town Center Drive, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1993 Telephone: 714.513.5100		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: 714.513.5130		
6	E mail glabate@sheppardmullin.com kthomas@sheppardmullin.com	n om	
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
8	COURTYARD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION (now known as		
9	COURTYARD MÀNAGEMENT, LLC); MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.	and	
10	PATRICIA T. STAMBELOS, Cal Bar No. 166998		
11	STAMBELOS LAW OFFICE 543 Country Club Dr. Ste. B209		
12	Simi Valley, CA 93065 Telephone: 805.578.3474		
13	E mail patricia@patriciastambelos.	com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	AMANDA BALDINO-MILLER		
16			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	AMANDA BALDINO-MILLER, on behalf of herself and all similarly	Case No. 1:23-CV-01613-BAM	
20	aggrieved employees,	Fresno County Superior Court Case No. 23CECG04219	
	Plaintiff,	State Court Complaint Filed: October 9,	
21	v.	2023	
22	COURTYARD MANAGEMENT	JOINT STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED	
23	CORPORATION; MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.; and DOES 1	COMPLAINT; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING SAME	
24	through 50, inclusive,		
25	Defendants.	U.S Magistrate Judge: Barbara A. McAuliffe	
26		Courtroom 8 (Sixth Floor)	
27			
28			

TO THIS HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff AMANDA BALDINO-MILLER ("Plaintiff") and Defendants
COURTYARD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION (now known as COURTYARD
MANAGEMENT, LLC) and MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC. (together
"Defendants") (collectively Plaintiff and Defendants are referred to herein as the
"Parties"), through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as follows:

- 1. WHEREAS, on October 9, 2023, Plaintiff filed this purported class and representative action under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") against Defendants;
- 2. WHEREAS, on November 15, 2023, Defendants removed this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California;
- 3. WHEREAS Plaintiff now desires to file the First Amended Complaint attached as **Exhibit A** to this Joint Stipulation, which, among other things, amends the original Complaint to remove non-exempt employees from the putative class and PAGA action entirely;
- 4. WHEREAS, upon review of the proposed First Amended Complaint attached as Exhibit A, Defendants do not oppose the filing of the First Amended Complaint;
- 5. WHEREAS, the Parties agree that notwithstanding Defendants' agreement to the filing of the First Amended Complaint, Defendants are not waiving any arguments related to, nor agreeing to, the proposed scope of the putative class and PAGA groups, the certifiability of the putative class, or the scope of discovery associated with the putative class and PAGA groups;
- 6. WHEREAS, the Parties agree that, notwithstanding Defendants' agreement to the filing of the First Amended Complaint, Defendants are not admitting to the merits of the articulated claims therein and reserve all rights to

challenge them to the fullest extent permissible under relevant California and Federal authority in any responsive pleading or other motion; and

7. WHEREAS, the Parties agree Defendants may have thirty (30) days to respond to the First Amended Complaint from the date the First Amended Complaint is deemed filed.

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** as follows:

- 1. The proposed First Amended Complaint attached as **Exhibit A** to this Joint Stipulation, which, among other things, amends the original Complaint to remove non-exempt employees from the putative class and PAGA action entirely, may be filed by Plaintiff;
- 2. The Parties agree that notwithstanding Defendants' agreement to the filing of the First Amended Complaint, Defendants are not waiving any arguments related to, nor agreeing to, the proposed scope of the putative class and PAGA groups, the certifiability of the putative class, or the scope of discovery associated with the putative class and PAGA groups;
- 3. The Parties agree that, notwithstanding Defendants' agreement to the filing of the First Amended Complaint, Defendants are not admitting to the merits of the articulated claims therein and reserve all rights to challenge them to the fullest extent permissible under relevant California and Federal authority in any responsive pleading or other motion; and
- 4. The Parties agree Defendants may have thirty (30) days to respond to the First Amended Complaint from the date the First Amended Complaint is deemed filed.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Document 58

Filed 11/18/25

Page 4 of 5

-4-

Case 1:23-cv-01613-KES-BAM

1	ORDER	
2	Based upon the Joint Stipulation of the Parties and other good cause	
3	appearing,	
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the above	
5	Joint Stipulation is entered.	
6		
7	1. Within five (5) days of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall file the	
8	proposed First Amended Complaint attached as Exhibit A to the Joint	
9		
10	2. Defendants shall respond to the First Amended Complaint within thirty	
11	(30) days from the date the First Amended Complaint is filed.	
12	(50) days from the date the Frist Amended Complaint is fried.	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
14		
15	Dated: November 18, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

-5-